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#### Abstract

We consider expanding maps of the circle with an almost neutral fixed point $c$. In this setting the ergodic averages of a smooth observable $A$ satisfy the Central Limit Theorem. If $A(c)=0$ we show that the expectation and the variance behave as the square root of the multiplier of $c$ times rapidly oscillating functions of parameters.


## 1. Introduction.

Several authors investigated the regularity of parameter dependence of various dynamical characteristics (see $[11,12,3,4,14,15,16,17,6,5,8,7,9]$, etc.). In general one can obtain nice dependence if the system is uniformly (partially) hyperbolic for all values of the parameters. However, for general systems one should expect much less regularity of the dynamical invariants. Therefore an important problem is to develop methods for obtaining optimal regularity in the case when the invariants are not smooth.

Here we investigate a much simpler question. Recall that a map $F$ of a compact Riemannian manifold is called expanding if there are constants $C>0$ and $\lambda>1$ such that for any tangent vector $v$

$$
\left\|d F^{n}(v)\right\| \geq C \lambda^{n}\|v\|
$$

(equivalently, $F$ locally increases distances with respect to some Riemannian metric). We consider a one parameter family $f_{\varepsilon}$ of expanding maps of $S^{1}, \varepsilon>0$, which loses its hyperbolicity at $\varepsilon=0$ via a saddle-node bifurcation and study how the mean and the variance in the Central Limit Theorem change when hyperbolicity detiorates. Let us give a more precise description of families we consider.

Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ map with the following properties.
(A) There exists a partition of the circle into intervals $S^{1}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} Q_{j}$ such that $f: Q_{j} \rightarrow$ $S^{1}$ is one-to-one, $j=1, \ldots, p$.
(B) There is a constant $\lambda>1$ such that $f^{\prime}(x) \geq \lambda$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{j=2}^{p} Q_{j}$.
(C) $Q_{1}=\left[d, b_{0}\right]$ where $d$ is a hyperbolic fixed point.
(D) Inside $Q_{1}$ we have $f(x)>x$ except for a neutral fixed point $c$ which is non-degenerate in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha:=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} f}{d x^{2}}(c)>0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{0}$ be the leftmost point in $Q_{1}$ where $f^{\prime}(a)=1$ (observe that $f^{\prime} \geq \lambda$ on $\partial Q_{1}$ due to (B) and that $f^{\prime}(x)<1$ for $x$ immediately to the left of $c$ due to (C). Let $a_{1}=f\left(a_{0}\right), I_{k}=$ $f^{k}\left(\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right]\right),(k$ can be either positive or negative $), b_{-1}=f^{-1} b_{0}, J_{k}=f^{-k}\left[b_{-1}, b_{0}\right]$. (Here and below we use $f^{-1}$ to denote the branch of the inverse of $f$ with range $\left[d, b_{0}\right]$.) Given a segment $I$, let $H_{I}$ denote the increasing affine map $I \rightarrow[0,1]$. For $x \in\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right]$ set

$$
g_{1}(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} H_{I_{k}} f^{k}(x)
$$



Figure 1. $f_{\varepsilon}$ restricted to $Q_{1}$ for (A) large positive $\varepsilon,(\mathrm{B})$ positive $\varepsilon$ near 0 , (C) $\varepsilon=0$. As the title indicates we are interested in what happens slightly before the tangency appears (Figure $1(\mathrm{~B})$ ).
(this limit exists because for all $r$ the $C^{r}$ distance between $H_{I_{k}} f^{k}$ and $H_{I_{k+1}} f^{k+1}$ is less than $\frac{C}{k^{2}}$ by Lemma 2 below). Extend $g_{1}$ to $(d, c)$ by setting $g_{1}(x)=g_{1} f^{-k}(x)$ for $x \in I_{k}$. Similarly for $x \in\left[b_{-1}, b_{0}\right]$ set

$$
g_{2}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} H_{J_{k}} f^{-k}
$$

Our last condition on $f$ reads
$(E) \inf _{x \in(d, c)}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right) \max _{x \in J_{0}}\left(g_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right)>1$.
Let $\left\{f_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ be a one parameter family such that $f_{0}=f$ which is non-degenerate in the sense that $\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} f_{\varepsilon}(c) \neq 0$. We normalize $f_{\varepsilon}$ by requiring that $\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\left(f_{\varepsilon}(c)\right)=1$, for $\varepsilon$ near 0 . Thus
(F) $\quad f_{\varepsilon}(c+h)=c+h+\varepsilon+\alpha h^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon+h^{2}\right)$.

Let us explain the meaning of the above conditions. (A) and (C) imply that $f$ has a Markov partition consisting of $d$ and its preimages. Condition (B) implies that $f$ is expanding outside of $Q_{1}$. By (D) for small $\varepsilon$ most points spend most of the time near $c$ and so if they pick up a strong contraction where. Hence under conditions (A)-(D) and (F) $f_{\varepsilon}$ may fail to be expanding in spite of (B). Condition (E) ensures overall expansion.

Proposition 1. If $\left\{f_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ satisfies $(A)-(F)$ then $f_{\varepsilon}$ is expanding for small positive $\varepsilon$.
Proposition 1 implies that $f_{\varepsilon}$ has an absolutely continuous invariant measure $\mu_{\varepsilon} .\left(f_{\varepsilon}, \mu_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is exponentially mixing and satisfies the Central Limit Theorem. Namely, if $A: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

Holder continuous then

$$
\operatorname{mes}\left(x \in S^{1}: \quad \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{j} x\right)-n \mu_{\varepsilon}(A)}{\sqrt{D_{\varepsilon}(A) n}} \leq z\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-s^{2} / 2} d s
$$

where mes is Lebesgue measure on $S^{1}$ and

$$
D\left(A, f_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mu_{\varepsilon}\left(A^{2}\right)-\left(\mu_{\varepsilon}(A)\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\mu_{\varepsilon}\left(A(\cdot)\left(A \circ f_{\varepsilon}^{j}\right)\right)-\left(\mu_{\varepsilon}(A)\right)^{2}\right]
$$

(this sum is finite because of the exponential mixing). Moreover by [12] if $A$ is $C^{\infty}$ then the maps $\varepsilon \rightarrow \mu_{\varepsilon}(A)$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow D_{\varepsilon}(A)$ are $C^{\infty}$ for $\varepsilon>0$.

We say that a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is weakly periodic if there exists a periodic function $\phi_{0}$ and a function $\alpha(t)$ such that for all $T$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{s \in[t, t+T]}\left|\phi(s)-\phi_{0}(s-\alpha(t))\right|=0 .
$$

Theorem 1. If $\left\{f_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ satisfies $(A)-(F)$, then there are functionals $\omega_{i}: C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}, \mathbb{R}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i=1,2$ weakly periodic in the second variable such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{\varepsilon}(A)-A(c) \sim \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega_{1}(\sqrt{1 / \varepsilon}, A) \\
D_{\varepsilon}(A) \sim \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega_{2}(\sqrt{1 / \varepsilon}, A)
\end{gathered}
$$

(Here and below "~" means that the ratio of the left hand side to the right hand side approaches 1 as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.)

The factors $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ in the above asymptotics reflect the fact that a typical orbit spends time of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ outside a small neighborhood of $c$. Indeed outside such a neighborhood a point has a positive probability of hitting $[d, c]$ and points from $[d, c]$ quickly enter a small neighborhood of $c$ where they get stuck for time $\pi / \sqrt{\alpha \varepsilon}$ (cf. Lemma 9). Observe that the dependence of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ on $\varepsilon$ is quite irregular. Such a phenomenon is of course well known for logistic maps (see e.g. [13]) but here we exhibit an example in hyperbolic setting.

Section 2 contains preliminary facts about $f$ itself. In Section 3 we treat positive $\varepsilon$ and prove Theorem 1.
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## 2. TAngency.

Here we collect some properties of $f$ used in the proof of Theorem 1. In order to simplify the computations below we assume from now on that $A(c)=0$.

Let $L=\bigcup_{j=2}^{p} Q_{j}$ be the union of the elements of the Markov partition not containing $c$. We want to approximate the first return map $F_{\varepsilon}: L \rightarrow L$ by a map $F: L \rightarrow L$. The problem is that for $\varepsilon=0$, almost all points are eventually attracted to $c$ so not all of them return to $L$. Fix $s \in[0,1]$. Let $R_{s}(y)=y+s \bmod 1$ be a rotation of $[0,1]$. We define $\tilde{F}_{s}: L \rightarrow L$
as follows. If the $f$-orbit of $x \in L$ returns to $L$ we let $\tilde{F}_{s} x$ be the point of the first return. If not, then $f(x) \in I_{m}$ for some $m$ (positive or negative). Let $k_{1}(\delta)$ be the first moment $k$ when length $\left(I_{k}\right)<\delta$. Let $k_{2}(\delta)$ be the first moment when length $\left(J_{k}\right)<\delta$. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}_{s}(x) & =\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1} H_{J_{k_{2}(\delta)}}^{-1} R_{s} H_{I_{k_{1}(\delta)}} f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}(x)  \tag{2}\\
& =f g_{2}^{-1} R_{s} g_{1} f(x)
\end{align*}
$$

We say that $\left\{\tilde{F}_{s}\right\}$ is the Mather family associated to $\left\{f_{\varepsilon}\right\}$. Constructions similar to this are used in $[1,2,10,18]$. We refer to these papers for a more detailed exposition of some computations similar to the ones presented below.

Recall (1). Given $x$ let $x_{n}=f^{n} x$, set $t_{n}(x)=\alpha n+\frac{1}{|x-c|}$ where $\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2} f}{d x^{2}}(c)$. Let $x_{-n}=f^{-n} x$, where $f^{-1}$ denotes the branch with range $\left[d, b_{0}\right]$. We also let $x_{n, \varepsilon}=f_{\varepsilon}^{n} x$ where again $n$ can be either positive or negative.

Lemma 2. If $x \in\left[a_{0}, c\right]$ then

$$
x_{n}=c-\frac{1}{t_{n}(x)}+\xi_{n}(x), \text { where }\left|\xi_{n}(x)\right| \leq \mathrm{Const} \frac{\ln t_{n}(x)}{t_{n}^{2}(x)}
$$

Proof. Consider the auxiliary equation

$$
\dot{z}=-\alpha\left(z^{2}+\beta z^{3}\right)
$$

Its solution $z(t)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{z}+\beta \ln \left(\frac{z+\frac{1}{\beta}}{z}\right)=\alpha t+\frac{1}{z(0)}+\beta \ln \left(\frac{z(0)+\frac{1}{\beta}}{z(0)}\right)
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{z}=\left[\alpha t+\frac{1}{z(0)}\right]+O\left(\ln \left(\alpha t+\frac{1}{z(0)}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\beta$ in a bounded interval. Let $z_{n}=c-x_{n}$. There are $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ such that $z_{n}$ is between the time $n$ maps of the vector fields

$$
\dot{z}=-\alpha\left(z^{2}+\beta_{1} z^{3}\right) \text { and } \dot{z}=-\alpha\left(z^{2}+\beta_{2} z^{3}\right)
$$

Now the result follows from (3).
Corollary 3. If $x \in\left[a_{0}, c\right)$, then

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A\left(x_{j}\right)=-\frac{A^{\prime}(c) \ln t_{n}}{\alpha}+A^{*}(x)+O\left(\frac{\ln t_{n}}{t_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $A^{*}(x)=\frac{\ln |c-x|}{\alpha}+O(1)$. Similarly if $x \in\left(c, b_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A\left(f^{-j} x\right)=\frac{A^{\prime}(c) \ln t_{n}}{\alpha}+A^{* *}(x)+O\left(\frac{\ln t_{n}}{t_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $A^{* *}(x)=-\frac{\ln |x-c|}{\alpha}+O(1)$.

For $x \in\left[d, a_{0}\right]$ let $m(x)$ denote the first $m$ when $x_{m} \in\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right]$. Then

$$
A^{+}(x):=\sum_{j=0}^{m(x)-1} A\left(x_{j}\right)=\frac{|\ln x| A(d)}{\ln f^{\prime}(d)}+O(1)
$$

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 2 and the asymptotics $A\left(x_{n}\right)=A^{\prime}(0)\left(x_{n}-c\right)+$ $O\left(\left(x_{n}-c\right)^{2}\right)$. To get the second part, apply the first part to $f^{-1}$. To prove the last estimate we observe that for $x \in\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right]$

$$
f^{-j}(x) \sim C(x)\left(\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(d)}\right)^{j}
$$

since near $d$ the map $f$ is conjugates to $x \rightarrow d+f^{\prime}(d)(x-d)$.
We now apply Corollary 3 to $\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}$. Suppose $x \in\left[a_{0}, c\right]$. We have

$$
\ln \left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)-\ln \left(f^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}(x) \sim-2 \alpha\left(c-x_{n}\right)
$$

Thus by Corollary 3

$$
\ln \left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x) \sim-2\left[\ln \left(n+\frac{1}{c-x}\right)-\ln \frac{1}{c-x}\right]
$$

In other words we have
Corollary 4. There exists a constant $K$ such that if $x \in\left[a_{0}, c\right]$ then

$$
\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\left(c-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{(c-x)^{2}} \leq K
$$

and if $x \in\left[c, b_{0}\right]$ then

$$
\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{\left(f^{-n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\left(x_{-n}-c\right)^{2}}{(x-c)^{2}} \leq K
$$

Corollary 4 implies the following weak distortion bound: if $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in I_{k}$ then

$$
\frac{1}{\hat{C}} \leq \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)} \leq \hat{C}
$$

with $\hat{C}$ independent of $k, n$. We will strengthen this bound. We say that a family of piecewise $C^{2}$ maps $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}\right\}$ has bounded distortion if there is a constant $C$ such that for all $x, \alpha$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq C\left|\phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (4) implies in particular that

$$
\left|\ln \phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\ln \phi_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left|\phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|
$$

which is a standard distortion bound. Also if $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}\right\}$ has bounded distortion and is uniformly expanding in the sense that there exists $\lambda>1$ such that $\left|\phi^{\prime}(\alpha)\right| \geq \lambda$ then the family consisting of all compositions of maps from $\left\{\phi_{\alpha}\right\}$ also has bounded distortion (with a different constant $C)$. When we say below that a family $\left\{F_{\sigma}\right\}$ has bounded distortion we mean that (4) holds uniformly for all $x$ and $\sigma$.

For $\gamma \in(0,1)$, let $C^{\gamma}(L)$ denote the space of Holder continuous functions with Holder exponent $\gamma$ on $L$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\gamma}$ denote the norm on $C^{\gamma}(L)$.

Lemma 5. The family $\left\{\tilde{F}_{s}\right\}, s \in[0,1]$, is uniformly expanding with bounded distortion. Hence each $\tilde{F}_{s}$ has an absolutely continuous invariant measure $\nu_{s}$, and there are constants $C>0$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that for $A, B \in C^{\gamma}(L)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int A\left(\tilde{F}_{s}^{n} x\right) B(x) d x-\nu_{s}(A) \int B(x) d x\right| \leq C\|A\|_{C^{\gamma}}\|B\|_{C^{\gamma}} \theta^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Expansion follows from the the second line of (2) and (E).
To estimate distortion let $x \in\left[a_{0}, c\right]$, say $x \in I_{m}$. Recall the first line of (2). We shall check that (4) holds uniformly for $\delta>0$. Denote $\Delta_{s, \delta}=H_{J_{k_{2}(\delta)}}^{-1} \circ R_{s} \circ H_{I_{k_{1}}}$. Observe that $\Delta_{s, \delta}$ is affine, so $\Delta_{s, \delta}^{\prime \prime}=0$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1} \Delta_{s, \delta} f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)=  \tag{6}\\
\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1}\right)^{\prime} \Delta^{\prime}\left(f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left[\Delta^{\prime}\left(f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now,

$$
f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1}=f \circ f^{k_{2}(\delta)}=f \circ\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)} \circ H_{J_{k_{2}(\delta)}}^{-1}\right) \circ H_{J_{k_{2}(\delta)}} .
$$

The expression in parenthesis is $C^{2}-$ close to $g_{2}^{-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \text { Const } \delta^{-2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1}\right)^{\prime} \geq \text { Const } \delta^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let us estimate $\left(f^{k_{1}-m+1}\right)^{\prime \prime}(x)$. Denote $\xi_{j}(x)=\left(f^{j}\right)^{\prime \prime}(x)$. Then

$$
\xi_{j+1} \leq \xi_{j}\left(1-2 \alpha\left(c-x_{j}\right)\right)+\operatorname{Const}\left[\left(f^{j}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}
$$

Observe that $\left(f^{j}\right)^{\prime}(x)$ satisfies the corresponding homogenuous equation

$$
\left(f^{j+1}\right)^{\prime}(x)=\left(f^{j}\right)^{\prime}(x)\left(1-2 \alpha\left(c-x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Thus the Gronwall inequality, Corollary 4 and the fact that

$$
\left(f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)^{\prime}(x) \leq \text { Const } \frac{\delta}{(c-x)^{2}}
$$

by the definition of $k_{1}(\delta)$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}(x) \leq \text { Const } \frac{\delta}{(c-x)^{4}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by Corollary 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)^{\prime}(x) \geq \text { Const } \frac{\delta}{(c-x)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (6)-(10) we get

$$
\left|\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1} \Delta_{s, \delta} f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\text { Const }}{(c-x)^{4}}
$$

On the other hand by Corollary 4

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d x}\left(f^{k_{2}(\delta)+1} \Delta_{s, \delta} f^{k_{1}(\delta)-m+1}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\text { Const }}{(c-x)^{2}}
$$

This proves the needed distortion estimate for $x \in\left[a_{0}, c\right]$. For $x \in\left[c, b_{0}\right]$ say $x \in J_{m}$ we represent

$$
f_{\varepsilon}^{k_{2}(\delta)+1-m}(x)=f_{\varepsilon}^{k_{2}(\delta)+1}\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{-m} x\right)
$$

and proceed as before. Finally for $x \in\left[d, a_{0}\right]$ the distortion bound follows from the distortion estimates on $\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right]$ and the discussion preceding the statement of Lemma 5.

Mixing follows from expansion, distortion and the fact that the image of each continuity interval is all of $L$ (see e.g. [19]).

## 3. Unfolding.

Here we prove Theorem 1. In order to simplify the computations below we assume that $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\partial Q_{j}}=\left.f\right|_{\partial Q_{j}}=d, j=1, \ldots, p$ (this can be achieved by an $\varepsilon$-dependent coordinate change).

Recall that $F_{\varepsilon}$ denotes the first return to $L$.
Lemma 6. $F_{\varepsilon}$ are uniformly expanding with bounded distortion. Moreover (5) holds.
Observe that Lemma 6 implies Proposition 1 because the only way an orbit may fail to return quickly to $L$ is by spending a lot of time near $d$ but for such orbits expansion is obvious.

Proof. We begin with expansion. For a large $R$, divide the trajectory of a point $x$ into three intervals (some of which might be empty)
(1) before the first visit to $[c-R \sqrt{\varepsilon}, c+R \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$.
(2) passage of $[c-R \sqrt{\varepsilon}, c+R \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$.
(3) return to $L$.

To handle the derivative on interval (1) we let $\delta_{n, \varepsilon}=\frac{d f_{\varepsilon}^{n}}{d x}$. Then

$$
\delta_{n+1, \varepsilon}=\delta_{n, \varepsilon}\left(1+2 \alpha\left(x_{n, \varepsilon}-c\right)\right)+O\left(\varepsilon+\left(x_{n, \varepsilon}-c\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}$ are uniformly bounded on interval (1), we get by induction that $x_{n, \varepsilon}-x_{n}=$ $O(\varepsilon n)$. This gives

$$
\ln \delta_{n+1, \varepsilon}-\ln \delta_{n, \varepsilon} \sim 2 \alpha\left(x_{n}-c\right)+O\left(\varepsilon n^{2}\right)
$$

(the term $O\left(\varepsilon+\left(x_{n, \varepsilon}-c\right)^{2}\right)$ gives a smaller contribution.) Since the main term does not depend on $\varepsilon$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(1+\tilde{\gamma}_{n}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\tilde{\gamma}_{n}\right|$ can be made arbitrary small by choosing $R$ sufficiently large. By considering $f^{-1}$ we see that a similar estimate holds for interval (3). It remains to show that the derivative on interval (2) is close to 1 . Introduce $y_{n}=\frac{x_{n, \varepsilon}-c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Then for $\left|x_{n}\right| \leq R \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}-y_{n}=\sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha y_{n}^{2}+1\right)+O(\varepsilon) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the following standard fact.
Proposition 7. Suppose $y_{n}$ satisfy (12). Suppose that $y_{0}$ be close to $-R$. Fix $t<\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}}+$ $\frac{\arctan (\sqrt{\alpha}) R}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ and let $n=[t / \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$. Then as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the map $y_{0} \mapsto y_{n}$ converges in $C^{r}$ to time $t$ map of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}=\alpha y^{2}+1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proposition shows that the derivative on interval (2) is converges to 1 (=the derivative of time $2 \arctan (\sqrt{\alpha} R) / \sqrt{\alpha}$ map of (13)). In view of (11) expansion now follows from Lemma 5.

The proof of the distortion estimate is now similar to the proof of Lemma 5 except that now we have an extra term $\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}}{(c-x)^{4}}$ in the estimate of $f^{\prime \prime}$ (equation (6)) coming from the term

$$
\left(f^{k_{2}+1}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} y_{n}}{\partial y_{0}^{2}}\left[\left(f^{k_{1}-m}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2}
$$

where $n$ is the passage time from $-R \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ to $R \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. However this does not spoil the main term.
Mixing follows as before.
Let $\tau_{\varepsilon}(x)$ denote the first return time to $L$ and set $\tilde{A}(x, \varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{\tau_{\varepsilon}-1} A\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{j} x\right)$. Let $\delta$ be a small positive number.

## Lemma 8.

$$
\tilde{A}(x, \varepsilon)=A^{\dagger}(x, \varepsilon)+o(1)+\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

where

$$
A^{\dagger}(x, \varepsilon)=A(x)+A^{+}(f x)+A^{*}\left(f^{m(x)} x\right)+A^{* *}\left(F_{\varepsilon}\right)(x)
$$

and $\operatorname{mes}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon} \neq 0\right) \leq$ Const $\varepsilon^{1 / 2-\delta}$. (Here $A^{+}=0$ if $f(x) \notin\left[d, a_{0}\right], A^{*}=0$ if $f x \notin[d, c]$ and $A^{* *}=0$ if $f x \in L$.)

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.
Define

$$
\hat{\tau}=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha}} 1_{[d, c]}(f x)
$$

Lemma 9. The difference between $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tau_{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $\hat{\tau}(x)$ is uniformly small outside a set of measure Const $\varepsilon^{1 / 2-\delta}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 6. The main contribution comes from passage of $[c-R \sqrt{\varepsilon}, c+R \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$. which in view of Proposition 7 can be made as close to $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ as we wish by choosing $R$ large. At the same time $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \times$ the time spent outside of this interval tends to 0 when we increase $R$. The set which we have to remove consists of points where $f_{\varepsilon}(x) \in[c-R \sqrt{\varepsilon}, c+R \sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ or $f_{\varepsilon}(x) \in\left[d, d+\left(1 / f^{\prime}(d)\right)^{1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right]$.

Let $a_{l, \varepsilon}=f_{\varepsilon}^{l} a_{0}, b_{-k, \varepsilon}=f_{\varepsilon}^{-k} b_{0}, J_{k}^{\varepsilon}=\left[b_{-(k+1), \varepsilon}, b_{-k, \varepsilon}\right]$, and let $j(\varepsilon)$ be the first $j$ then length $\left(J_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varepsilon$. We now define $s(\varepsilon)$ by waiting until $a_{l, \varepsilon}$ hits $J_{j(\varepsilon)}^{\varepsilon}$ and rescaling between the image point between $[0,1]$ using $H_{J_{j(\varepsilon)}^{\varepsilon}}$. Let $\nu_{\varepsilon}$ be the absolutely continuous invariant measure for $F_{\varepsilon}$. Put

$$
\hat{A}(x, s)=A(x)+A^{+}(f x)+A^{*}\left(f^{m(x)} x\right)+A^{* *}\left(\tilde{F}_{s}\right)(x) .
$$

Given observables $A, B$ set

$$
\begin{gathered}
D\left(A, B, F_{*}\right)= \\
\nu_{*}(A B)-\nu_{*}(A) \nu_{*}(B)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\nu_{*}\left(A\left(B \circ F_{*}^{j}\right)\right)+\nu_{*}\left(B\left(A \circ F_{*}^{j}\right)\right)-2 \nu_{*}(A) \nu_{*}(B)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Lemma 10.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nu_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{A}) \sim \nu_{s(\varepsilon)}(\hat{A}),  \tag{14}\\
D\left(\tilde{A}, F_{\varepsilon}\right) \sim D\left(\hat{A}, \tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}\right), \\
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \nu_{\varepsilon}\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) \sim \nu_{s(\varepsilon)}(\hat{\tau}) \\
\varepsilon D\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, F_{\varepsilon}\right) \sim D\left(\hat{\tau}, \tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}\right) \\
\sqrt{\varepsilon} D\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{A}, F_{\varepsilon}\right) \sim D(\hat{\tau}, \hat{A})
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let us prove (14). Define a new norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$. Let $\|A\| \|=K$ if $\|A\|_{L^{1}} \leq K$ and given $\rho$ there is a function $A^{(\rho)}$ such that

$$
\left\|A-A^{(\rho)}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq \varepsilon \text { and }\left\|A^{(\rho)}\right\|_{C^{\gamma}} \leq \frac{K}{\rho^{4}}
$$

It is easy to see from Corollary 3 and Lemma 8 and their proofs that $\||\hat{A}(\cdot, s) \||$ and $\|\|\tilde{A}(\cdot, \varepsilon)\| \|$ are uniformly bounded. For example, to approximate $\hat{A}(\cdot, s)$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\rho}=[d, d+\rho] \bigcup[c-\rho, c+\rho] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\hat{A}^{(\rho)}(x, s)=\hat{A}(x, s)$ for $x \notin U_{\rho^{2}}$ and define $\hat{A}^{(\rho)}(x, s)$ on the intervals (15) by interpolating linearly between their endpoints. Then Corollary 2 implies that

$$
\left\|\hat{A}(\cdot, s)-\hat{A}^{(\rho)}(\cdot, s)\right\| \leq \text { Const } \rho^{2} \ln \rho
$$

and outside $U_{\rho^{2}}$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d x} A^{(\rho)}(\cdot, s)\right| \leq \operatorname{Const}\left(\rho^{2}\right)^{-2}=\text { Const } \rho^{-4}
$$

Let $K=\max (\sup \| \| \hat{A}\| \|, \sup \| \| \tilde{A} \| \mid)$. By preceding estimates we know that both $F_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{F}_{s}$ are uniformly expanding and have bounded distortion.

Lemma 11. Uniformly with respect to the parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int A\left(F_{*}^{n} x\right) d x-\nu_{*}(A)\right| \leq \mathrm{Const}| ||A| \mid \theta^{n} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Lemmas 5 and 6 give this for $C^{\gamma}$ norm but $\hat{A}$ does not belong to $C^{\gamma}$ and hence $\|\tilde{A}\|_{C^{\gamma}}$ are not uniformly bounded.)

Proof. Let $F$ stand for either $F_{\varepsilon}$ or $\tilde{F}_{s}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the adjoint of $A \rightarrow A \circ F$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int A\left(F^{N} x\right) d x=\int A^{(\rho)}\left(F^{N} x\right) d x+\int\left[A\left(F^{N} x\right)-A^{(\rho)}\left(F^{N} x\right)\right] d x= \\
\nu\left(A^{(\rho)}\right)+O\left(\theta^{N}|\|A\|| \rho^{-4}\right)+\int\left(\mathcal{L}^{N} 1\right)\left[A-A^{(\rho)}\right] d x= \\
\nu\left(A^{(\rho)}\right)+O\left(\theta^{N}\| \| A \mid \| \rho^{-4}\right)+O\left(\||A|\| \rho\left\|\mathcal{L}^{N} 1\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Now for any $B \in L^{\infty}$

$$
\mathcal{L}^{N} B=\sum_{I}\left(B \circ F^{-N}\right)\left(F_{I}^{-N}\right)^{\prime}
$$

where $F_{I}^{-N}$ denotes the branch of $F^{-N}$ with range $I$. By the distortion estimate

$$
\left\|\mathcal{L}^{N} B\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_{1} \sum_{I}|I|\|B\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

where the constant depend only on distortion constant for $F$. In particular, $\frac{d \nu}{d x}$ has density uniformly bounded by $C_{2}$ and hence

$$
\nu\left(A^{(\rho)}\right)=\nu(A)+O(\rho)\| \| A \mid \| .
$$

This implies

$$
\int A\left(F^{N} x\right) d x-\nu(A)=\| \| A\| \| O\left(\theta^{N} \rho^{-4}+\rho\right)
$$

Optimizing in $\rho$ we obtain the result needed.
Choose $\delta_{0}$. Take $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int B\left(F_{*}^{N} x\right) d x-\nu_{*}(B)\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $B$ with $\||B \|| \leq K$. In particular

$$
\left|\int \tilde{A}\left(F_{\varepsilon}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right) d x-\nu_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{A}(\cdot, \varepsilon))\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6}
$$

Next, given $N, \varepsilon$ we construct a set $\Omega=\Omega(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{mes}(L-\Omega) \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6} \\
\left|\int_{L-\Omega} \tilde{A} d x\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6}, \quad\left|\int_{L-\Omega} \hat{A} d x\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

and on $\Omega$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\tilde{A}\left(F_{\varepsilon}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right)-\tilde{A}\left(\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right)\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6}  \tag{19}\\
\left|\tilde{A}\left(\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right)-\hat{A}\left(\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N} x, s(\varepsilon)\right)\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{0}}{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\Omega=L-\bigcup_{j=0}^{N+1} F_{\varepsilon}^{-j} U_{\rho}$. It then follows by bounded distortion that

$$
\operatorname{mes}(\Omega) \leq \operatorname{Const} \rho(N+2)
$$

so that by decreasing $\rho$ we can satisfy (17). Also, Corollary 3 and Lemma 8 show that $\tilde{A}$ and $\hat{A}$ are uniformly integrable, so by further decreasing $\rho$ we can satisfy (18).

Next, the computations of Lemma 6 together with Proposition 7 imply that for a fixed $\rho$, the map $F_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is close to $\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}(x)$ if $x \notin U_{\rho}$. Now with $N$ and $\rho$ fixed, $\left(F_{\varepsilon}^{N}\right)^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded on $\Omega$. It follows that for a small $\varepsilon$ the map $F_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N}$ is uniformly close to $F_{\varepsilon}^{N}$. In
particular, $F_{s(\varepsilon)}^{j} x \notin U_{\rho / 2}$ for $j=0,1 \ldots N$. Hence $\left(F_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N}\right)^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded as well. So for a small enough $\varepsilon$ (19) and (20) are satisfied. Next,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\nu_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{A}) & =\int_{L} \tilde{A}\left(F_{\varepsilon}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right) d x+r_{1} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \tilde{A}\left(F_{\varepsilon}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right) d x+r_{2} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \tilde{A}\left(\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N} x, \varepsilon\right) d x+r_{3} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \hat{A}\left(\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N} x, s(\varepsilon)\right) d x+r_{4} & & (\text { by }(17))) \\
& =\int_{L} \hat{A}\left(\tilde{F}_{s(\varepsilon)}^{N} x, s(\varepsilon)\right) d x+r_{5} \\
& =\nu_{s(\varepsilon)}(\hat{A})+r_{6} & & (\text { by }(19))  \tag{17}\\
(\text { by }(18))) \\
& & & \text { by }(17))
\end{array}
$$

where $\left|r_{j}\right| \leq \delta_{0} j / 6$. This proves (14). Proofs of other asymptotics are similar using identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(A, B, F_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\frac{1}{4}\left[D\left(A+B, F_{\varepsilon}\right)-D\left(A-B, F_{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \\
D_{\varepsilon}(A) & =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int\left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A\left(F_{\varepsilon}^{j} x\right)\right]^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We now use formulas

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nu_{\varepsilon}(A)=\frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{A})}{\nu_{\varepsilon}\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}  \tag{21}\\
D_{\varepsilon}(A)=\frac{D\left(\tilde{A}, F_{\varepsilon}\right)+\left[\frac{\nu(\tilde{A})}{\nu\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}\right]^{2} D\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, F_{\varepsilon}\right)+2 \frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{A})}{\nu_{\varepsilon}\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)} D\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{A}, F_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\nu_{\varepsilon}\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 10, (21) and (22) allow us to approximate $\mu_{\varepsilon}(A)$ and $D_{\varepsilon}(A)$ by expressions involving correlation functions for $F_{s(\varepsilon)}$. To complete the proof of Theorem 1 it remains to establish the weak periodicity of $s(\varepsilon)$.

## Lemma 12.

$$
\frac{d s}{d \varepsilon} \sim \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha \varepsilon^{3}}}
$$

Proof. We defined $s$ by waiting until $a_{l, \varepsilon}$ hits $J_{j(\varepsilon)}^{\varepsilon}$. In fact for any $k$ we can define $s^{(k)}(\varepsilon)$ by waiting until $a_{l, \varepsilon}$ hits $J_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ and rescaling the image between 0 and 1 . We claim that for any fixed $c_{1}, c_{2}$ we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\left[s^{\left(c_{1} / \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}-s^{\left(c_{2} / \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)}\right]=O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})
$$

Indeed, by Lemma 2, if we replace $k$ by $k+1$ for $k$ of order $1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ then

$$
\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\left[s^{(k+1)}-s^{(k)}\right]=O(\varepsilon)
$$

Now fix $R$ and consider $\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} s^{(k)}$ with $k=k(R)=[\alpha /(R \sqrt{\varepsilon})]$, so that $b_{-k, \varepsilon} \sim R \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Let $l(R, \varepsilon)$ be the first moment when $a_{l(R, \varepsilon), \varepsilon}>b_{-k, \varepsilon}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{(k(R))}(\varepsilon)=\frac{a_{l, \varepsilon}-b_{-k, \varepsilon}}{b_{-k, \varepsilon}-b_{-k+1, \varepsilon}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now as before we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{m \leq k(R)} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{d \varepsilon} b_{-m, \varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for a large $R$ we can approximate $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{d a_{l(R, \varepsilon), \varepsilon}}{d \varepsilon}$ by $r(T)$ where $r$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{x}=\alpha x^{2}+1 \quad x \rightarrow-\infty, \quad t \rightarrow-\frac{\pi}{2 \alpha}, \quad x(T)=R \\
\dot{r}=2 \alpha x(t) r+1, \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow-\frac{\pi}{2 \alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving this equation we get

$$
x(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tan (\sqrt{\alpha} t), \quad r(t)=\frac{\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}}+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{\sin (2 \sqrt{\alpha} t)}{4 \sqrt{\alpha}}}{\cos ^{2}(\sqrt{\alpha} t)}
$$

For $T$ near $\frac{\pi}{2 \alpha}$ we have

$$
x(T) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha} \cos (\sqrt{\alpha} T)}, \quad r(T) \sim \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha} \cos ^{2}(\sqrt{\alpha} t)} .
$$

Hence $r \sim \pi \sqrt{\alpha} x^{2}=\pi \sqrt{\alpha} R^{2}$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d a_{l(R, \varepsilon), \varepsilon}}{d \varepsilon} \sim \frac{\pi \sqrt{\alpha} R^{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{-k, \varepsilon}-b_{-k+1, \varepsilon} \sim \alpha R^{2} \varepsilon \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (23)-(26) we obtain the statement of the lemma.
Let us now explain why Lemma 12 implies the weak periodicity of $s(\varepsilon)$. The quantity $s(\varepsilon)$ has jumps at the jumps of $j(\varepsilon)$, but the magnitude of these jumps is $O(\varepsilon)$ by Lemma 2. An argument similar to the proof of Lemma 12 shows that the distance between the jumps is $O\left(\varepsilon^{-3 / 2}\right)$. Hence the main contribution to the growth of $s$ comes from $\int \frac{d s}{d \varepsilon} d \varepsilon$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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