

## Stat 700 Take-Home Test

**Instructions.** You are to work on this take-home test individually. You may get information from books and notes and you may get hints from me, but that is all. A perfect score is 100 points, but 120 points are possible. The test is due either at my office or my mailbox by 4pm, Friday Dec. 12.

(I) (*35 points*) Consider the dataset  $\{(X_i, Y_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of i.i.d. random pairs, assumed to have distributions satisfying

$$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad , \quad Y_i - \rho X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\nu, \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)$$

where  $X_i$  and  $Y_i - \rho X_i$  are independent for each  $i$ , and the parameter-vector  $\vartheta = (\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma^2) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^+$  is unknown.

(a). Show that these data have an exponential family joint density which is not a natural canonical family but is of rank greater than the parameter dimension with identifiable parameter, and find (and justify that you have found) the minimal sufficient statistic vector  $T(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  for  $\vartheta$ . (*For this, it is enough to show for your sufficient statistic that the density ratio  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \vartheta)/f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \vartheta_0)$  for a fixed parameter value  $\vartheta_0$  as a function of the parameter uniquely determines your  $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .*)

(b). Find the maximum likelihood estimator of the parameter-vector  $\vartheta$ .

(II). (*45 points*) Let  $V_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be a sample of  $Expon(\lambda)$  variables, and let the discrete random variables  $U_i$  be defined from  $V_i$  as  $U_i = [V_i]$  equal to the greatest integer less than or equal to  $V_i$ , i.e.,  $U_i = k$  whenever  $k \leq V_i < k + 1$ , for  $k \geq 0$ .

(a). Find the exact Cramer-Rao lower bounds for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $e^{-\lambda}$  based respectively on samples  $\mathbf{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and on  $\mathbf{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

(b). Show, giving full details in support of your argument, that there is a UMVUE for  $e^{-\lambda}$  based on  $\mathbf{V}$  and *also* a UMVUE for  $e^{-\lambda}$  based on  $\mathbf{U}$ . (*You do not necessarily have to find these estimators explicitly to do this or the next part of the problem.*)

(c). Does either of the UMVUE's in (b) attain the corresponding Cramer-Rao lower bound in (a) for every value of  $\lambda$ ? Give as definitive an answer as you can in light of the result in Theorem 3.4.2 of Bickel and Doksum.

(III). (40 points) A sample of 10 independent variables  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 10$ , is observed, with values in  $(0, 1)$  and density known to have the form

$$f_X(x, \vartheta) = \begin{cases} \vartheta & \text{if } -1 < x < 0 \\ 2(1 - \vartheta)x & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1 \end{cases}$$

(a). Find a most powerful test  $\varphi$  of the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \vartheta = 0.4$  versus the alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \vartheta = 0.75$  of size 0.05. What is the size of a most powerful level .05 test  $\varphi_0$  which involves no auxiliary randomization (i.e., is a nonrandomized test of the same hypotheses)? Find the power of both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_0$  against the alternative  $\vartheta = 0.75$ .

(b). Are either or both of the same tests  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi_0$  uniformly most powerful (UMP) in testing  $H_0$  against the more general one-sided alternative  $H_A : \vartheta \geq 0.5$ ? uniformly over which set of competing tests?

(c). For the parameter space  $\Theta = (0, 1)$ , action space  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ , loss function  $L(\vartheta, a) = 2a I_{[\vartheta \leq 0.4]} + (1 - a) I_{[\vartheta > 0.4]}$ , and prior  $\pi \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ , what is the optimal Bayes decision rule?