Spectral Analysis of Stochastic Networks

Maria Cameron Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, USA

(joint work with Tingyue Gan (AMSC, UMD))



Workshop: Fluctuation—driven phenomena in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics, Warwick University, UK, September 2015

Networks with pairwise rates of the form $L_{ij} = a_{ij} \exp(-U_{ij} / T)$, T = a small parameter (temperature)

Time - reversible

- Stochastic networks representing energy landscapes of atomic and molecular clusters and proteins (Wales's group (Cambridge Univ.))
- Evolutionary genetics: fitness landscapes. (Kimura, Ewens, Gillespie) (A. Morozov & M. Manhart)
- Markov State Model in Molecular Dynamics. (Schuette, Swope, Pande, Noe, etc)

Time - irreversible

- 1. Molecular motors. (Astumian (2005))
- 2. Aggregation of interacting particles.
- 3. Stochastically oscillating energy landscapes.



Reversible networks





The generator matrix:

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} (k_{ij}/k_i)e^{-(V_{ij}-V_i)/T}, & i \sim j\\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
$$L_{ij} = -\sum L_{ij}$$

 $j \neq i$

The invariant distribution:

$$\pi_i = k_i e^{-V_i/T}$$

Irreversible networks: Molecular motors (Astumian, 2005)



Molecular motors, cont'd (Astumian, 2005)



Significance of the spectral decomposition $L = \Phi \Lambda \Psi \equiv \Phi \Lambda \Phi^{-1}$

- Understanding of the dynamics of the network: decompose it into a collection of processes with various time scales
- Extraction of quasi-invariant sets of states
- Building coarse-grained models

Interpretation of spectral decomposition $L = \Phi \Lambda \Psi \equiv \Phi \Lambda \Phi^{-1}$



The Fokker-Planck equation or the Master equation

The time evolution of the probability distribution

$$\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = p(t)L, \quad p(0) = p^0 = [p_1, \dots, p_n]$$

$$p(t) = p^0 e^{tL} = p^0 \Phi e^{t\Lambda} \Psi = \pi + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (p_0 \phi_k) e^{z_k t} \psi_k$$

Time evolution of the probability distribution

$$p(t) = \pi + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(p_0 \phi_k \right) e^{-\lambda_k t + i\mu_k t} \psi_k$$

Projection of the initial distribution onto right eigenvector

Left eigenvector

Left eigenvector ψ_k decays **uniformly** across the network with rate λ_k

For time-reversible networks:

 $L = P^{-1}Q$, where $P = diag\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}$, Q is symmetric Right eigenvectors: $\Phi = [\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}]$ Left eigenvectors: $P\Phi = [P\phi_0, \dots, P\phi_{n-1}]$

Right eigenvector $\alpha_k \phi_k$ = proportion by which the states are over- or under-populated in the perturbed distribution $\pi + \alpha_k P \phi_k$

Difficulties in computing spectral decomposition

- The generator matrix is large: L in n-by-n, $n = 10^p$, p = 4,5,6,...
- The pairwise rates U_{ij} can vary by tens of orders of magnitude
- No special structure
- Even if we succeed, the results would be hard to interpret

Idea

Step 1: compute the asymptotic spectral decomposition

Step 2: continue eigenpairs of interest to finite temperatures

The goal

- To develop an efficient algorithm for computing the zerotemperature asymptotics for eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the generator matrices
- To develop efficient continuation techniques
- Applications to large and complex networks representing energy landscapes

Asymptotics for eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Asymptotics for eigenvalues

A. Wentzell, 1972

For a continuous-time Markov chain with pairwise rates of the form $L_{ij} \sim e^{-U_{ij}/T}$

if all optimal W-graphs are unique, eigenvalues of the generator matrix are

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 > -\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq -\lambda_{N-1} \\ \lambda_k \asymp \exp(-\Delta_k/T) \\ \Delta_k = V^{(k)} - V^{(k+1)} \\ V^{(k)} = \sum_{(i \rightarrow j) \in g_k^*} U_{ij} \\ \end{array}$$
where g_k^* is the optimal W-graph with k sinks

T. Gan, C., 2015

For a continuous-time Markov chain with pairwise rates of the form $I = \frac{-U_{ij}}{T}$

$$L_{ij} = a_{ij} e^{-U_{ij}/j}$$

if all optimal W-graphs are unique, eigenvalues of the generator matrix are

$$0 > -\lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge -\lambda_{N-1}$$
$$\lambda_k = A_k \exp(-\Delta_k/T)$$
$$\Delta_k = V^{(k)} - V^{(k+1)}$$
$$V^{(k)} = \sum_{(i \to j) \in g_k^*} U_{ij}$$
$$A_k = \frac{\prod_{i \to j \in g_k^*} U_{ij}}{\prod_{i \to j \in g_{k+1}^*} U_{ij}} + o(1)$$

W-graphs (Wentzell, 1972)

Definition. Let G(S,A,U) be a weighted directed graph.

A W-graph with k sinks is its subgraph satisfying:

- (1) any sink has no outgoing arcs; any non-sink has exactly one outgoing arc;
- (2) the graph has no cycles.

Example

A W-graph with two sinks

An optimal W-graph with two sinks



Optimal W-graphs with *k* sinks:

 $\sum_{(i \to j) \in g} U_{ij}$ is minimized with respect to both k sinks and n-k arcs









Nested property (Gan, C., 2015)

- {The set of sinks of g_k^* } \subset {The set of sinks of g_{k+1}^* }
- There exists a connected component S_k of g_{k+1}^* whose set of vertices contains no sink of g_k^* .
- The sets of arcs connecting vertices $S \setminus S_k$ in g_k^* and g_{k+1}^* coincide.
- In g_k^* , there is a single arc from S_k to $S \setminus S_k$



Asymptotics for eigenvectors (under assumption that II optimal W-graphs are unique)



Right eigenvectors:

Left eigenvectors:

$$\phi_{i}^{k} = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in S_{k} \\ 0, & i \notin S_{k} \end{cases}$$
$$\psi_{i}^{k} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = b \\ -1, & i = a, \\ 0, & i \notin \{a, b\} \end{cases}$$



Time- reversible case: Justification: Bovier, Eckort,

Gayrard, Klein, early 2000's

Time - irreversible case:

Justification: Cameron, Gan, 2015 Algorithms on graphs for finding asymptotic for eigenvectors and eigenvalues

Algorithms for computing asymptotic spectra

Algorithm 1 (Cameron, 2014)

Valid for time-reversible networks

Precompute the minimum spanning tree

Order of computation: from smallest to largest

(Cameron, NHM, 9, 3, 383-416 (2014), arXiv:1402.2869; Cameron. J. Chem. Phys., 141, *NEW!* Algorithm 2 (Tingyue Gan, Cameron 2015)

Time-reversibility is not assumed

A **single-sweep** algorithm

(motivation: Chi-Liu/Edmond's algorithm for optimum branching for a directed graph with a selected root)

Order of computation: from largest to smallest

In preparation

•

 b_1

Input: list of arcs with weights and prefactors

$$1 \to i_1 : U_{1i_1}, k_{1i_1}$$

 $1 \to i_{n_1} : U_{1i_{n_1}}, k_{1i_{n_1}}$

 $N \to p_1 : U_{1p_1}, k_{1p_1}$

•

 \bigcap utput



$$1 \to p_{n_N} : U_{1p_{n_N}}, \ k_{1p_{n_N}}$$

 \cap

9

 $\Delta_3, = 1 \quad S_3 = \{c\}, \quad \operatorname{sink}_3 = c$

Form binary trees out of sets of outgoing arcs for each vertex Delete the minimal outgoing arc min $\operatorname{arc}(i)$ from the tree of each vertex i and add it to the main tree While the main tree contains more than 1 arc, keep adding arcs

to build optimal W-graphs



• If the arc $a \rightarrow b$ on the top of the main tree creates a directed cycle, do:

- Update U's of in each tree reserve outgoing arcs of vertices lying
- in the cycle according to the rule: $U_{ij} = U_{ij} + U_{ab} U_{\min _arc(i)}$
- Merge trees of remaining outgoing arcs from all vertices of the cycle
- Keep deleting the minimal outgoing arcs $p \rightarrow q$ from the merged tree and discard it until p and q are associated with the merged tree.
- Delete the minimal outgoing arc $p \to q$ from the merged tree and add it to the main tree.
- For all vertices *i* associated with the merged tree, $\min_{i} \operatorname{arc}(i) = p \rightarrow q$





Find optimal W-graphs: recursively trace arcs from the set that ever appear on the top of the main tree backwards starting from the sink, so that each vertex has exactly one outgoing arc

•



Blue arcs form the optimal W-graph g_1^*

 $\Delta_3, = 1 \quad S_3 = \{c\}, \quad \sinh_3 = c$ $\Delta_2, = 3 \quad S_2 = \{b\}, \quad \sinh_2 = b$ $\Delta_1, = 5 \quad S_1 = \{a, b, c\}, \quad \sinh_1 = a$ $\sinh_0 = d$

Initialization



$$\begin{split} B_a &= \{ [(a \to b), 4], [(a \to c), 11] \}; \\ B_b &= \{ [(b \to c), 3], [(b \to a), 9] \}; \\ B_c &= \{ [(c \to a), 1], [(c \to d), 2], [(c \to b), 12] \}; \\ B_d &= \{ [(d \to c), 10] \}; \end{split}$$

While-cycle, step 1



$$\begin{split} B_a &= \{ [(a \to c), 11] \}; \quad B_b = \{ [(b \to a), 9] \}; \\ B_c &= \{ [(c \to d), 2], [(c \to b), 12] \}; \quad B_d = \emptyset; \\ M &= \{ [(b \to c), 3], [(a \to b), 4], [(d \to c), 10] \}; \\ s_3^* &= c; \quad \Delta_3 = 1; \quad A_3 = a_{ca}; \\ g_3^* &= \{ (c \to a) \}; \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} B_a &= \{ [(a \to c), 11] \}; \quad B_b = \{ [(b \to a), 9] \}; \\ B_c &= \{ [(c \to d), 2], [(c \to b), 12] \}; \quad B_d = \emptyset; \\ M &= \{ [(c \to a), 1], [(b \to c), 3], [(a \to b), 4], [(d \to c), 10] \}; \end{split}$$

While-cycle, step 2



 $B_{a} = \{ [(a \to c), 11] \}; \quad B_{b} = \{ [(b \to a), 9] \}; \\B_{c} = \{ [(c \to d), 2], [(c \to b), 12] \}; \quad B_{d} = \emptyset; \\M = \{ [(a \to b), 4], [(d \to c), 10] \}; \\s_{2}^{*} = b; \quad \Delta_{2} = 3; \quad A_{2} = a_{bc}; \\g_{2}^{*} = \{ (c \to a), (b \to c) \}; \end{cases}$

While-cycle, step 3



$$\begin{split} B_a &= \{[(a \to c), 11]\}; \quad B_b = \{[(b \to a), 9]\}; \\ B_c &= \{[(c \to d), 2], [(c \to b), 12]\}; \quad B_d = \emptyset; \\ M &= \{[(d \to c), 10]\}; \\ \text{Cycle } \{(a \to b), (b \to c), (c \to a)\} \text{ is created} \\ \text{Update } B_a, B_b, \text{ and } B_c : \\ B_a &= \{[(a \to c), 11 + 4 - 4 = 11]\}; \quad B_b = \{[(b \to a), 9 + 4 - 3 = 10]\}; \\ B_c &= \{[(c \to d), 2 + 4 - 1 = 5], [(c \to b), 12 + 4 - 1 = 15]\}; \\ \text{Merge } B_a, B_b, \text{ and } B_c : \\ B &:= \{[(c \to d), 5], [(b \to a), 10], [(a \to c), 11], [(c \to b), 15]\}; \\ B_a &= B_b = B_c = B; \end{split}$$

Remove the minimum arc from B and add it to M: $M = \{[(c \rightarrow d), 5], [(d \rightarrow c), 10]\};$





$$\begin{split} M &= \{ [(d \to c), 10] \}; \\ s_1^* &= a; \quad \Delta_1 = 5; \\ A_1 &= \frac{a_{cd} a_{ab}}{a_{ca}}; \\ g_1^* &= \{ (c \to d), (b \to c), (a \to b) \} \end{split}$$

Results:





Computational cost

N vertices, index of each vertex $\leq k$

Best case scenario:

Initialization: O(Nk log k) Routine: O(N log N)

Worst case scenario:

Routine: $O((Nk)^2 \log(Nk))$ due to merging trees of reserve arcs when a cycle is created

Performance

- Lennard-Jones-38 network: 71887 vertices, 239706 arcs
 - CPU time: **30** seconds,
 - the number of cycles encountered: **50266**
 - the number of arcs having appeared on the top of the main tree: **122152**
- Lennard-Jones-75 network: 169523 vertices, 441016 arcs
 - CPU time: **632** seconds (10.5 minutes)
 - the number of cycles encountered: **153164**
 - the number of arcs having appeared on the top of the main tree: 322686

Application to Lennard-Jones-75 network

Data: courtesy of David Wales

Stats 593320 vertices, 452315 edges the maximal vertex index: 740

The maximal connected component:

169523 vertices, 227198 edges the maximal vertex degree: 740 the number of edges that are not loops and connecting different pairs of vertices: 220508



Zero-temperature asymptotic analysis to LJ₇₅

Quasi-invariant sets, Freidlin's cycles, etc.



Asymptotics for eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_k = \frac{O_{s_{k+1}^*} \bar{\nu}_{s_{k+1}^*}^{219}}{O_{p_k^* q_k^*} \bar{\nu}_{p_k^* q_k^*}^{218}} e^{-\Delta_k / T}$$



Decomposition into maximal disjoint sets S_k



Largest quasi-invariant sets (> 100 local minima)



The asymptotic zero-temperature (MinMax) path



Crystalline Order Numbers (Steinhardt, Nelson, Ronchetti, 1983)

$$Q_{l} = \left[\frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |\bar{Q}_{lm}|^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$Q_{lm}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv Y_{lm}(\theta(\mathbf{r}), \phi(\mathbf{r}))$$

Bond-orientational order numbers or crystalline order numbers

 $\begin{array}{ll} Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi) & \text{Spherical harmonics} \\ \theta(\mathbf{r}), \ \phi(\mathbf{r}) & \text{Polar angles of the bond } \mathbf{r} \\ \bar{Q}_{lm} & \text{Averages over all bonds in the cluster where} \\ |\mathbf{r}| \leq 1.391 r^*, \ r^* = 2^{1/6} \epsilon \end{array}$

Following Picciani, Athenes, Kurchan, Tailleur, 2011, we use $Q_4 \ \ {\rm and} \ \ Q_6$

Icosahedral and Marks decahedral basins



Spectrum of the truncated LJ_{75} network ($V_{max} - V_1 < 10.0$): 56074 vertices, 163666 arcs



Relaxation processes visible on the (Q_4, Q_6) -plane



Continuation to finite temperature of λ_{4395} which is responsible for the relaxation process from the icosahedral funnel to Marks decahedron funnel

Eigencurrent

$$F_{ij}^k := \pi_i L_{ij} e^{-\lambda_k t} [(\phi_k)_i - (\phi_k)_j]$$

The importance of currents was emphasized in works of J. Kurchan.

$$lpha_k F_{ij}^k$$

E. Vanden-Eijnden proposed to consider eigencurrents.



- = the net average number of transitions along the edge $(i \rightarrow j)$ per unit time at time *t* in the relaxation process from the initial distribution $\pi + \alpha_k P \phi_k$
- The Fokker-Planck equation in terms of eigencurrents

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \sum_{j \neq i} F_{ij}^k$$

$$\sum_{j \neq i} F_{ij}^k = e^{-\lambda_k t} \lambda_k \pi_k \phi_i^k$$

The emission-absorption cut



Consider the total eigencurrent F^k through the vertex i

$$\sum_{j \neq i} F_{ij}^{k} = e^{-\lambda_{k}t} \lambda_{k} \pi_{k} \phi_{i}^{k}$$

$$always > 0 > 0 \text{ or } < 0$$

 $S = S_{+}^{k} \cup S_{-}^{k}$ $S_{+}^{k} := \{i \in S : (\phi_{k})_{i} \ge 0\}$ $S_{-}^{k} := \{i \in S : (\phi_{k})_{i} < 0\}$

Among all possible cuts, the eigencurrent F^k is maximal through the emission-absorption cut

Ref.: M. Cameron, J. Chem. Phys. (2014), 141, 184113, arXiv: 1408.5630

Continuation of eigenpairs to finite temperatures

- **Difficulties:** (1) eigenvalues are close to 0 and may cross; (2) the matrix is large with widely varying entries
- **Useful fact:** the eigenvectors of the symmetrized generator matrix $L_{sym} := P^{1/2}LP^{-1/2} \equiv P^{-1/2}QP^{-1/2}$ are orthonormal
- Rayleigh Quotient iteration with initial approximation

$$(\psi_k^0)_i = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\pi_i}, & i \in S_k \\ 0, & i \notin S_k \end{cases}$$

• **Precaution:** check whether the corresponding eigencurrent is largely emitted at the sink s_k^* and largely absorbed at the sink t_k^*

```
rayleigh = Q(x) \times x' + Lsym + x/(x' + x); % the Rayleigh quotient
    rtol = 1e-6;
    itermax = 12;
%% Rayleigh quotient iteration
    iter = 0;
    while abs(res) > rtol*abs(lam) & iter < itermax</pre>
        A = Lsym - lam*speye(n);
        w = (A) \setminus v;
        v = w/norm(w);
        res = norm(A*v);
        lam = rayleigh(v);
        iter = iter + 1;
        fprintf('iter = %d: lam = %d\t res = %d\n',iter,lam,res);
    end
```

Difficulties with Lennard-Jones-75



Marks decahedron - icosahedral states solid - solid transition: T = 0.08

Icosahedral - liquid-like states transition: T = 0.25

The range of temperatures to which we would like to continue λ_{4395} :

 $0.05 \le T \le 0.25$

For *T* < 0.17, the matrix is badly scaled, and the results are inaccurate or NaN

For $T \ge 0.17$, convergence to a wrong eigenpair takes place

Remedy 1: lumping





The lumped network

Pick Δ_{\min} . Here $\Delta_{\min} = \Delta_2$ Lump the quasi-invariant sets with $\Delta_k < \Delta_{\min}$

Re-calculate pairwise rates S_k

$$\tilde{L}_{kl} = \sum_{i \in S_k, j \in S_l} L_{ij} \frac{\pi_i}{\sum_{i' \in S_k} \pi_{i'}}$$

The resulting generator matrix \tilde{L} is smaller, the largest entries of *L* are gone



Remedy 2: truncation



One can combine truncation and lumping: first truncate, then lump.

Eigenvalue λ_{4395} of LJ₇₅



Eigencurrent distribution in the emissionabsorption cut



The emission-absorption cut: location



Emission-absorption distribution



Emission - absorption

- States emitting 99% of the eigencurrent
- States emitting 90% of the eigencurrent
- States absorbing 99% of the eigencurrent
- States absorbing 90% of the eigencurrent



Highlights

- Formulas for asymptotic eigenvalues: exponents and prefactors
- Nested property of optimal W-graphs for stochastic networks with pairwise transition rates of the form $L_{ij} = k_{ij} \exp(-U_{ij}/T)$
- A single-sweep algorithm for computing asymptotic eigenvalues and eigenvectors
- Application to Lennard-Jones-75

Acknowledgements

• David Wales (Cambridge University, UK)