

# A Rough Guide to the Fundamental Group

Elisha Peterson

November 6, 2003

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Getting Oriented</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Fundamental Group</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Homotopies . . . . .	2
2.2	The Fundamental Group . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Covering Spaces</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Covering Maps . . . . .	3
3.2	Deck Transformations . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>The Classification of Surfaces</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	The Seifert-Van Kampen Theorem . . . . .	4
4.2	Surfaces . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Going Further</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	Higher Homotopy Groups . . . . .	4
<b>6</b>	<b>The Road Ahead</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Getting Oriented

The fundamental group is a tool used to study topological spaces; its most important property is *topological invariance*, meaning that it is always the same for homeomorphic spaces. What this means is that it can distinguish between non-homeomorphic spaces.

The easiest way to think of the fundamental group is as the set of loops embedded in a space, or as the set of closed paths a creature in the space may traverse. There is a natural equivalence relation between these paths given by *homotopy*: two paths are equivalent if they can be ‘smoothly deformed’ into each other. Surprisingly, with this equivalence relation one can give the very large set of paths the structure of a group.

This group tells a lot about the structure of a topological space. For every space, there is a space with trivial fundamental group, called the *universal cover* which can be completely wrapped around it. The universal cover is intricately related to the fundamental group. We will see that the spaces it can ‘wrap around’ are in a 1:1 correspondence with normal subgroups of the fundamental group.

The final section is on the Seifert-Van Kampen Theorem, a calculational tool allowing us to calculate the fundamental groups of more complicated spaces. In particular, it will lead us to the classification of surfaces.

## 2 The Fundamental Group

### 2.1 Homotopies

**Homotopy:** a family of maps parametrized by the unit interval, i.e.,  $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ , or  $F_t : X \rightarrow Y$ .

Then,  $F_0(X)$  and  $F_1(X)$  are *homotopic* maps, and we write  $F_0 \simeq F_1$ . The *homotopy class*  $[f]$  of  $f$  is the set of maps homotopic to  $f$ . Two spaces are *homotopy equivalent* if there exist maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $g \circ f \simeq 1_X$  and  $f \circ g \simeq 1_Y$ , and we write  $X \simeq Y$ . A space is *contractible* if it is homotopy equivalent to a 1-point space.

**Deformation Retract:**  $A \subset X$  for which there is an  $F : X \times I \rightarrow X$  with  $F_0 = 1_X$ ,  $F_1(X) \subset A$  and  $F_t|_A = 1_A$ .  $A$  is a *strong deformation retract* if  $F_t|_A = 1_A$  for all  $t$ . In either case,  $A \simeq X$ .

**Relative Homotopy:** a homotopy  $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  with  $F_t|_A = 1_A$ . We write  $F_0 \simeq F_1 \text{ rel } A$ . If  $F$  and  $G$  are (relative) homotopies with  $F_1 = G_0$  we can concatenate  $F$  and  $G$  to obtain the (relative) homotopy  $F * G$ .

An important class of homotopies are those  $\text{rel } X_{01} = X \times \partial I$ . Using the fact that  $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  is  $\simeq \text{rel } X_{01}$  to a reparametrization  $F(x, \phi(t))$ , we have:

1.  $C * F \simeq F * C \simeq F \text{ rel } X_{01}$  for a constant map  $C$ ;
2. every  $F$  has an inverse  $F^{-1}$  with  $F * F^{-1} \simeq C \text{ rel } X_{01}$ ;
3. if  $F_1, G_1 \simeq F_2, G_2$  then  $F_1 * G_1 \simeq F_2 * G_2 \text{ rel } X_{01}$ .

In terms of homotopy classes, we have (1)  $[e] * [f] = [f] * [e] = [f]$ , (2)  $[f] * [f^{-1}] = [e]$ , and (3)  $[f] * [g] = [fg]$  is well-defined.

For a mapping cylinder  $M_f$ ,  $r : M_f \rightarrow Y$  is a strong deformation retract, so we can replace  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow M_f$  (up to homotopy). Moreover, the homotopy type of  $M_f$  (or  $C_f$ ) depends only on the homotopy class of  $f$ .

If, as well,  $F(z_\alpha, t) = z_\alpha \forall z_\alpha \in Z$ , we say that the homotopy *keeps  $Z$  fixed*, and we write  $F : \sigma \simeq \tau \text{ rel } Z$ . In the case that  $Y = I$ , we say that  $F$  is a *path-homotopy* and that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are *path-homotopic*. A map with  $F(0, t) = x_0 \forall t \in I$  and  $F(1, t) = x_1 \forall t \in I$  is said to *keep endpoints fixed*, and written by  $\sigma \simeq \tau \text{ rel } (0, 1)$ .

Given a space  $X$ , the space  $E$  is said to be a *covering space* of  $X$  if there is a map  $p : X \rightarrow E$  which is continuous, and a local homeomorphism.

## 2.2 The Fundamental Group

**Fundamental Group:** the homotopy group  $\pi_1(X, \{x_0\})$ , the homotopy classes of closed paths (loops) from the base point.

By considering a change of base point, it is clear that  $\pi_1(X, \{x_0\})$  depends only on the path component of  $x_0$ . Since  $\pi_1(X \times Y, \{(x_0, y_0)\}) = \pi_1(X, \{x_0\}) \times \pi_1(Y, \{y_0\})$ , we can assume the space is path connected and omit the base point.

**Simply connected:** an arcwise-connected space with trivial fundamental group. Homotopy equivalent spaces have the same fundamental group. Thus, contractible spaces, which are homotopy equivalent to a point, are simply connected. Actually, any loop  $S^1 \rightarrow X$  which is homotopically trivial extends to a map  $S^1 \hookrightarrow D^2 \rightarrow X$ .

## 3 Covering Spaces

### 3.1 Covering Maps

**Covering Map:** a map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  (where  $X$  and  $Y$  are arcwise-connected, locally arcwise-connected, Hausdorff spaces), for which each point  $y \in Y$  has a neighborhood  $U$  with inverse image  $p^{-1}(U)$  consisting of disjoint sets  $U_\alpha$  each homeomorphic with  $U$  by  $p|_{U_\alpha}$ . The number of points in the inverse image of a point is constant, and called the *number of sheets* of the covering.

The simplest example of a covering space is  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  with  $t \mapsto e^{2\pi it}$  (an infinite sheeted covering). Similarly, the map  $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$  from the sphere to the projective plane is a double covering.

**Lifting Problem:** an important question for covering spaces: when does a map  $f : W \rightarrow Y$  lift to a map  $g : W \rightarrow X$  with  $f = g \circ p$ ? The *path-lifting property* says that a path  $f : I \rightarrow Y$  can be uniquely lifted to a path  $g : I \rightarrow X$ , and the *homotopy-lifting theorem* says that a homotopy  $F : W \times I \rightarrow Y$  with partial lift  $f : W \times \{0\} \rightarrow X$  can be lifted uniquely to a homotopy  $G : W \times I \rightarrow X$ . In general, a unique lift exists iff  $f_\#(\pi_1(W)) \subset p_\#(\pi_1(X))$ , where the maps are assumed to preserve base points.

**Universal cover:** Of particular note is the monomorphism  $p_\# : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ . In particular, a space is simply connected iff it has no nontrivial covers. With few conditions, every space has a unique simply connected covering space, called the *universal cover*.

### 3.2 Deck Transformations

Note that, given a covering map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ , the group  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$  acts on the fiber  $p^{-1}(y_0)$  as a group of permutations. The action is given by lifting a loop in  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$  to a path starting at some  $x \in p^{-1}(y_0)$ ... the endpoint of this path is the result of the action.

**Isotropy Subgroup:** if  $J = \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ , this is given by  $J_{x_0} = \{\alpha \in J : x_0 \cdot \alpha = x_0\} = \mathfrak{S}\{p_\# : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow p^{-1}(Y, y_0)\}$ . In this case,  $\phi : J_{x_0} \mathcal{J} \rightarrow F$  is a bijection, so there is a 1:1 correspondence between right cosets  $p_\# \pi_1(X, x_0) \pi_1(Y, y_0)$  and the fiber  $p^{-1}(y_0)$ . This in turn implies that the number of sheets of the covering map is precisely the index of  $p_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0))$  in  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$ . In the specific case of the universal cover, the number of sheets is just the order of  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$ .

**Deck transformation:** given a covering map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ , it is a homeomorphism  $D : X \rightarrow X$  of the cover. Deck transformations form a group  $\Delta = \Delta_p$  under map composition.

Now, it is natural to ask when we can consider the quotient  $X/\Delta$  of  $X$  by deck transformations. One would expect to get  $Y$ . This particular case can be assured if the subgroup  $p_{\#}\pi_1(X, x_0)$  is normal in  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$ , or alternatively if  $\Delta$  acts transitively on  $p^{-1}(y_0)$ , in which case the covering map is said to be *regular*. In this case, we have  $\Delta \approx \pi_1(Y, y_0)/p_{\#}\pi_1(X, x_0)$ . In particular, if  $X$  is simply connected (perhaps the universal cover), then  $\Delta \approx \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ .

**Properly discontinuous action:** the condition necessary for a group  $G$  acting on  $X$  to give a regular covering map  $p : X \rightarrow X/G$ , in which case  $\pi_1(X/G) \approx G$ .

Covering spaces can be classified, up to equivalence, by the subgroups of  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$  (they are in a 1:1 correspondence). If a space is assumed to be *semilocally 1-connected* or *locally relatively simply connected* (meaning each point has a simply connected neighborhood), then every connected space has a universal cover, which can be thought of as the set of paths from a given point.

## 4 The Classification of Surfaces

### 4.1 The Seifert-Van Kampen Theorem

The Seifert-Van Kampen is a very important computational tool used for computing the fundamental group, and essentially relates a space to (smaller) portions of that space.

**Seifert-Van Kampen Theorem:** if  $X = U \cup V$ , where  $U$ ,  $V$ , and  $U \cap V$  are nonempty and connected, then  $\pi_1(X) \approx \pi_1(U) *_{\pi_1(U \cap V)} \pi_1(V)$ , with base point  $x_0 \in U \cap V$ . Here,  $G_1 *_{A} G_2$  is a *free group with amalgamation*, meaning the group  $G_1 * G_2$  with relations given by maps  $\phi_1(a) = \phi_2(a)$ , where  $\phi_i : A \rightarrow G$ .

So, for example, if  $U \cap V$  is simply connected, then  $\pi_1(X) \approx \pi_1(U) * \pi_1(V)$ . Likewise, if  $V$  is simply connected, then  $\pi_1(X) \approx \pi_1(U)/N$ , where  $N$  is a subgroup of  $\pi_1(U)$  generated by the image of  $\pi_1(U \cap V)$ .

### 4.2 Surfaces

## 5 Going Further

### 5.1 Higher Homotopy Groups

Denote the set of homotopy classes of maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  by  $[X; Y]$ , and of maps  $(X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  by  $[X, A; Y, B]$ .

**Pointed Space:** a space with a specified base point, such as  $(X, x_0)$ .

**Homotopy Group:** Maps preserving base points form the group  $[X; Y]_*$  so that  $[SX; Y]_* \cong [X \times I, A; Y, \{y_0\}]$ , where  $SX = (X \times I)/(\{x_0\} \times I \cup X \times \partial I)$  is the *reduced suspension*.  $[SX; Y]$  forms a group with operation being the composition of maps, called a homotopy group.

**$n$ th Homotopy Group:** The most often used homotopy group, defined by  $\pi_n(Y, \{y_0\}) = [S^n; Y]_*$ , where  $S^n$  is the  $n$ -sphere, and can be thought of as the  $n$ -fold reduced suspension of  $S^0 = \{0, 1\}$  with base point 0. An alternate definition would be  $\pi_n(Y, \{y_0\}) = [I^n, \partial I^n; Y, \{y_0\}]$ , since  $S^n$  is formed from  $I^n$  by collapsing the boundary to a point.

The homotopy of spheres is most easily calculated.  $\pi_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\pi_n(S^k) = 0$  for  $n < k$ .  $\pi_n(S^1) = 0$  for  $n > 1$ , but  $\pi_3(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\pi_{n+1}(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  for  $n > 2$ . In general, the homotopy groups are *stable*, in the sense that  $\pi_{n+k}(S^n)$  is independent of  $n$  for large  $n$ .

**Functor:** the homotopy group is functorial. This means that a map  $\phi \in [Y, W]_*$  induces a group homomorphism  $\phi_{\#} : \pi_1(Y, \{y_0\}) \rightarrow \pi_1(W, \{w_0\})$ , with  $\psi_{\#} \circ \phi_{\#} = (\psi \circ \phi)_{\#}$  and  $\text{Id}_{\#} = \text{Id}$ . Moreover, if  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are homotopic, then  $\psi_{\#} = \phi_{\#}$ .

## 6 The Road Ahead

The fundamental group is just the introduction to the vast subject of algebraic topology. It is a rather intuitive concept, but can be very difficult to calculate. There is another topological invariant, called *homology*, which turns out to be easier. It is less intuitive, calculated in terms of boundaries and pieces of a space rather than something concrete. However, the groups one obtains are always abelian; in fact, the first homology group is the abelianization of the fundamental group.

Another vital aspect of modern algebraic topology is cohomology theory, which deals with maps from bits and pieces of a space into some nice group like  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It pairs up nicely with homology, meaning there is a natural correspondence (called duality) between the two.

A third piece of algebraic topology is higher homotopy theory, which generalizes the fundamental group to maps from spheres  $S^n$  into a space. It retains the calculational complexity of the fundamental group, and working with this complexity requires a very deep theory best approached after working through homology and cohomology theory.