

**CORRIGENDUM: THE BASE CHANGE FUNDAMENTAL  
LEMMA FOR CENTRAL ELEMENTS IN  
PARAHORIC HECKE ALGEBRAS**

THOMAS J. HAINES

1. INTRODUCTION

In section 2.2 of [H09], there is a minor misstatement that this note will correct and clarify. It has no effect on the main results of [H09], but nevertheless this corrigendum seems necessary in order to avoid potential confusion. Also, I take this opportunity to point out a related typographical error in [BT2], section 5.2.4, and to address some matters of a similar nature.

I am very grateful to Brian Smithling and Tasho Kaletha, who informed me that something was amiss in section 2 of [H09].

2. NOTATION

All notation will be that of [H09], except for the correction in notation discussed below.

3. CORRECTION

In [H09], section 2.2, the “ambient” group scheme  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$  was incorrectly identified with the group scheme whose group of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -points is the full fixer of the facet  $\mathbf{a}_J$ . In the notation of Bruhat-Tits [BT2], which I intended to follow in [H09], the group scheme whose group of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -points is the full fixer of  $\mathbf{a}_J$  is denoted  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$ . The group scheme  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$  is defined and characterized in this way in [BT2], 4.6.26-28.

The group scheme denoted  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$  is defined in loc. cit. 4.6.26 (cf. also 4.6.3-6). In general, it can be a bit smaller than  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$  (see below). In [H09], the symbol  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$  should be interpreted as this potentially proper subgroup of the full fixer  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$ .

We have, as stated in [H09], (2.3.2) and (2.3.3), the equalities<sup>1</sup>

$$(3.0.1) \quad J(L) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_L) = T(L)_1 \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$$

$$(3.0.2) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = T(L)_b \cdot \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L).$$

In general,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_L) = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_L) \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L),$$

and both inclusions can be strict.

---

<sup>1</sup>In light of the typographical error in [BT2], 5.2.4 explained in section 6, the reasoning used in [H09] to justify these equalities is correct.

## 4. CLARIFICATION OF SUBSEQUENT STATEMENTS IN [H09]

1. Theorem 2.3.1 of [H09] remains valid as stated, but can be slightly augmented: equation (2.3.1) can be replaced by

$$(4.0.3) \quad J(L) = \text{Fix}(\mathbf{a}_J^{\text{ss}}) \cap G(L)_1 = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) \cap G(L)_1 = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) \cap G(L)_1.$$

Cf. [HRa], Remark 11.

2. Contrary to [H09], line above equation (2.3.2), our  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}$  should not now be identified with the scheme  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J^{\text{ss}}}$  of [BT2].

3. Corollary 2.3.2 of [H09] remains valid, with the same proof. Indeed, when  $G_L$  is split we have  $T(L)_b = T(\mathcal{O}_L) = T(L)_1$  and then from (3.0.1) and (3.0.2) above we see that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^{\circ}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ .

4. Lemma 2.9.1 of [H09] remains valid as stated, but in the proof (especially in equations (2.9.1) and (2.9.2)) the symbols  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J^{\text{M}}}(\mathcal{O}_L)$  should be replaced by  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J^{\text{M}}}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , respectively.

## 5. EXAMPLE

It is sometimes but usually not the case that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ . The following is perhaps the simplest example where this equality fails<sup>2</sup>. Take  $G$  to be the split group  $\text{PSp}(4)$ , and let  $\mathbf{a}_J$  denote the non-special vertex in a base alcove. Then let  $\tau$  denote the element in the stabilizer  $\Omega \subset \widetilde{W}(L)$  of the base alcove, which interchanges the two special vertices and fixes  $\mathbf{a}_J$ . The element  $\tau$  does not belong to the group  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^{\circ}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$  (cf. **3** above), since  $\tau$  does not belong to  $G(L)_1$ . On the other hand  $\tau \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$  since it fixes  $\mathbf{a}_J$  and  $G(L)^1 = G(L)$  (cf. [BT2], 4.6.28).

## 6. TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN [BT2], 5.2.4

Section 5.2.4 of [BT2] contains four displayed equations. In all of these equations, the “hats” should be removed. The fact that the final displayed equation

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{\Omega}^{\natural}(\mathcal{O}^{\natural}) = \mathfrak{G}_{\Omega}^{\circ}(\mathcal{O}^{\natural}) \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{O}^{\natural})$$

is incorrect as stated is shown by the Example above (in light of the fact that for a  $K^{\natural}$ -split group such as  $\text{PSp}(4)$  the group scheme  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is connected and the right hand side is simply  $\mathfrak{G}_{\Omega}^{\circ}(\mathcal{O}^{\natural})$ ).

All of the displayed equations in [BT2], 5.2.4 become correct when the “hats” are removed.

---

<sup>2</sup>Brian Smithling and Tasho Kaletha provided me with another example for the split group  $\text{SO}(2n)$ .

7. WHEN IS  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ ?

Let us assume (for simplicity) that  $G$  is split over  $L$ . Then the following give two cases where the equality  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$  holds. Since  $G_L$  is split, by Corollary 2.3.2 of [H09] we automatically have  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_L)$ .

**Lemma 7.0.1.** *If  $G_{\text{der}} = G_{\text{sc}}$ , then  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$  denote the inertia group. Recall that  $G(L)_1$  is the kernel of the Kottwitz homomorphism

$$G(L) \rightarrow X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^{\mathcal{I}})$$

and  $G(L)^1$  is the kernel of the map

$$G(L) \rightarrow X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^{\mathcal{I}})/\text{torsion}$$

derived from the Kottwitz homomorphism. Our hypotheses imply that  $X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^{\mathcal{I}}) = X^*(Z(\widehat{G}))$  is torsion-free, and hence  $G(L)^1 = G(L)_1$ . But then  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , being by [BT2], 4.6.28 the fixer of  $\mathbf{a}_J^{\text{ss}}$  in  $G(L)^1$ , obviously coincides with  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , the fixer of  $\mathbf{a}_J^{\text{ss}}$  in  $G(L)_1$  (cf. (4.0.3) above).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.0.2.** *If the closure of  $\mathbf{a}_J$  contains a special vertex  $v$ , then  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ .*

*Proof.* By [BT2], 4.6.26, we have  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \widehat{N}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^1 \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}_J}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , where  $\widehat{N}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^1$  denotes the fixer in  $N = N_G(T)(L)$  of  $\mathbf{a}_J$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $\widehat{N}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^1 \subset G(L)_1$ . Let  $K = K_v$  be the special maximal parahoric subgroup of  $G(L)$  corresponding to  $v$ , and realize the finite Weyl group  $W$  at  $v$  as  $W = (K \cap N_G(T))/T(\mathcal{O}_L)$ , cf. [HRa]. As in loc. cit., the choice of the special vertex  $v$  gives us a decomposition of the extended affine Weyl group as  $X_*(T) \rtimes W$ . For  $n \in \widehat{N}_{\mathbf{a}_J}^1$  let  $t_\lambda w \in X_*(T) \rtimes W$  denote the corresponding element.

We need to show that  $t_\lambda w$  belongs to the affine Weyl group, since such an element will automatically belong to  $G(L)_1$ , and that would be enough to prove that  $n \in G(L)_1$ . We need to show  $\lambda$  is in the coroot lattice  $Q^\vee$ . But  $t_\lambda w$  fixes  $v$ , that is,

$$\lambda + w(v) = v.$$

On the other hand

$$v - w(v) \in Q^\vee,$$

since  $v$  is a special vertex. Thus  $\lambda \in Q^\vee$  and we are done.  $\square$

8. COMPARING IWAHORI SUBGROUPS OVER  $F$ 

The “naive” Iwahori subgroup that often appears in the literature (e.g. [C], [Mac]), can be identified with the group

$$\widetilde{I} := G(F) \cap \text{Fix}(\mathbf{a}^\sigma) = G(F)^1 \cap \text{Fix}((\mathbf{a}^{\text{ss}})^\sigma).$$

This contains the group

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathcal{O}_F) = G(F)^1 \cap \text{Fix}(\mathbf{a}),$$

(cf. [BT2], 4.6.28). The “true” Iwahori subgroup over  $F$  is defined to be

$$I := G(F) \cap (G(L)_1 \cap \text{Fix}(\mathbf{a})) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_F)$$

(see [HRa]) which turns out to have the alternative description

$$I = G(F)_1 \cap \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{a}^\sigma),$$

see [HRo], Remark 8.0.2. Thus, we always have the inclusions

$$I \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{O}_F) \subseteq \widetilde{I}.$$

In general, we have  $\widetilde{I} \neq I$ ; for example, in the case of  $G = D^\times/F^\times$  we have  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{O}_F) \neq \widetilde{I}$  (see Remark 8.0.2 of [HRo]).

**Lemma 8.0.3.** *Suppose  $G$  is split over  $L$ . Then  $I = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ .*

*Proof.* Use Lemma 7.0.2. □

**Proposition 8.0.4.** *If  $G$  is unramified over  $F$ , then  $I = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{O}_F) = \widetilde{I}$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove  $I = \widetilde{I}$ . Let  $v_F$  denote a hyperspecial vertex in the closure of  $(\mathfrak{a}^{\text{ss}})^\sigma$ , and let  $K = K_{v_F}$  denote the corresponding special maximal parahoric subgroup of  $G(F)$ . Following [HRo], define  $\widetilde{K} = G(F)^1 \cap \text{Fix}(v_F)$ ; recall also that  $K = G(F)_1 \cap \text{Fix}(v_F)$ . By loc. cit., it is clear that when  $G$  is unramified over  $F$  we have  $\widetilde{K} = K$ . On the other hand, the inclusion  $\widetilde{I} \subset \widetilde{K}$  clearly induces an injection

$$\widetilde{I}/I \hookrightarrow \widetilde{K}/K.$$

Thus  $\widetilde{I}/I$  is trivial. □

#### REFERENCES

- [BT2] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **60** (1984), 5-184.
- [C] W. Casselman, *The unramified principal series of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic groups I. The spherical function*, Compositio Math. **40** (1980), 387-406.
- [H09] T. Haines, *The base change fundamental lemma for central elements in parahoric Hecke algebras*, Duke Math. J, vol. **149**, no. 3 (2009), 569-643.
- [HRa] T. Haines, M. Rapoport, *Appendix: On parahoric subgroups*, Advances in Math. **219** (1), (2008), 188-198; appendix to: G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, *Twisted loop groups and their affine flag varieties*, Advances in Math. **219** (1), (2008), 118-198.
- [HRo] T. Haines, S. Rostami, *The Satake isomorphism for special maximal parahoric Hecke algebras*, preprint 2009. Submitted. Available at [www.math.umd.edu/~tjh](http://www.math.umd.edu/~tjh).
- [Mac] I. G. Macdonald, *Spherical functions on a group of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic type*, Ramanujan Institute, University of Madras Publ., 1971.

University of Maryland  
 Department of Mathematics  
 College Park, MD 20742-4015 U.S.A.  
 email: [tjh@math.umd.edu](mailto:tjh@math.umd.edu)